

Call for Abstracts- Explanation of Criteria

To ensure the Program Committee gathers the most compelling content, submissions will be evaluated using the following criteria:

- **Innovation and Importance:** The criterion applies to the content summary, which is more in-depth, and will be available to reviewers in the reviewing process. Does the topic address an area of inquiry where there is a need for further research or clinical education? Is the research groundbreaking or cutting-edge? Does the description match the title in the content? Is the content well-written with clarity, completeness, and appropriateness for a professional audience?
- **Content Organization/Relevance:** This criterion applies to how your submission content is organized and demonstrates relevance. For research submissions, we are looking for sessions with sound scientific or clinical foundations, clearly stated objectives, solid study design, good methodology, clear presentation of findings, and easily recognized conclusions. In addition, clinical-based and practice management submissions should demonstrate the benefit of day-to-day operations and have practical applications.
- **Substance and Concrete Takeaways:** Does the submission offer concrete takeaways for the learner? Are there practical tips, techniques, or intervention plans that an attendee can apply on their return to the workplace?